BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation against:)	
DAVID SEAGAL)	Case No. 827-A
1634 Kent Place)	
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362)	
Civil Engineer License No. C 14972,)	
Respondent.)	
)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulation for Public Reprimand against David Seagal is hereby adopted by the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

Original Signed

BOÁRD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.			
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 To be a second of the second o			
6	Telephone: (213) 620-2558 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
9				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 827-A			
12	DAVID SEAGAL STIPULATION FOR PUBLIC			
13	1634 Kent Place Thousand Oaks, CA 91362 SEAGAL REPRIMAND AGAINST DAVID SEAGAL			
14	Civil Engineer License No. C 14972			
15	Respondent.			
16	In the interests of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the			
17	public interest and the responsibility of the Board For Professional Engineers and Land			
18	Surveyors, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board), the parties agree to the following stipulated			
19	settlement which will be submitted to the Board for its approval and adoption as the final			
20	disposition of the Accusation in this matter.			
21	PARTIES			
22	1. David E. Brown, (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board for Professiona			
23	Engineers and Land Surveyors, Department of Consumer Affairs. Complainant brings this action			
24	solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund Brown Jr., Attorney			
25	General of the State of California, by Kevin J. Rigley, Deputy Attorney General.			
26	2. On or about August 31, 1964, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land			
27	Surveyors (Board) issued Civil Engineer License Number C 14972 to David Seagal			
28	(Respondent). The Civil Engineer License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the			

charges brought in Accusation No. 827-A herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

3. Accusation No. 827-A was filed before the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 30, 2009. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 827-A is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 4. Respondent has carefully read and discussed with his counsel the nature of the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this stipulated settlement and order.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

7. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against Respondent in Case No. 827-A and to avoid a costly administrative hearing, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the imposition of discipline under Business and Professions Code sections 6775(c) and 6775(h) [for violating sections 6735 and 6749], and Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges and agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the disciplinary order below.

8. Respondent stipulates that the Board has jurisdiction to impose a public reprimand upon his Civil Engineer License No. C 14972, pursuant to sections 6775(c) and 6775(h) of the Business and Professions Code.

RESERVATION

9. The settlement made by Respondent is only for the purposes of these proceedings, or any other proceedings in which the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that the Board's staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the Stipulated Settlement, except for this paragraph, shall have no force or effect. The Stipulated Settlement shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order.

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

13. IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND ORDERED that the Board shall, without formal notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter a disciplinary order as follows: A Public Reproval shall be issued to Respondent David Seagal, Civil Engineer License No. C 14972. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall complete the following requirement:

28 | ///

- A. Within one year from the effective date of the decision, Respondent shall successfully complete and pass a course in professional ethics, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the Board with verifiable proof of his successful completion of the requisite course.
- 14. Respondent specifically acknowledges and understands that the Order for Public Reprimand as a resolution to the charges in the Accusation is contingent upon his full compliance with this condition. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, he understands and agrees that the Board may then file a supplemental accusation for unprofessional conduct as a consequence of such failure on his part, as a separate and independent basis for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6775.
- 15. In the event that Respondent fails to satisfy the above condition, Respondent understands and agrees that the original Accusation shall be reinstated and Respondent agrees to waive any defenses based upon the statute of limitations as to the original Accusation.

 Respondent further understands and agrees that the Board will be entitled to proceed on both the original Accusation and a supplemental accusation based on his failure to comply with the above condition.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Civil Engineer License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors. I further agree that a facsimile copy of this Stipulated Settlement, including facsimile copies of signatures, shall have the same force and effect as the original.

DATED: 2/24/10

DAVID SEAGAL
Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulation for Public Reprimand is hereby respectfully submitted consideration by the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors of the Department of Consumer Affairs. EDMUND BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2008601607

STIPULATION FOR PUBLIC REPRIMAND AGAINST DAVID SEAGAL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-2558 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 827-A		
12	DAVID SEAGAL		
13	1634 Kent Place Thousand Oaks, CA 91362 Civil Engineer Linear No. C. 14072 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Civil Engineer License No. C 14972		
15	Respondent.		
16	<u>PARTIES</u>		
17	1. David E. Brown (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
18	as the Executive Officer of the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors,		
19	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On or about August 31, 1964, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land		
21	Surveyors (Board) issued Civil Engineer License Number C 14972 to David Seagal		
22	(Respondent). The Civil Engineer License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
23	charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unless renewed.		
24	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
25	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
26	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
27			
28	///		
	. 1		

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 6735, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part that "[i]nterim documents shall include a notation as to the intended purpose of the document, such as 'preliminary,' 'not for construction,' 'for plan check only,' or 'for review only.'"
 - 6. Section 6749, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

"A professional engineer shall use a written contract when contracting to provide professional engineering services to a client pursuant to this chapter. The written contract shall be executed by the professional engineer and the client, or his or her representative, prior to the professional engineer commencing work, unless the client knowingly states in writing that work may be commenced before the contract is executed. . . ."

7. Section 6775 states, in pertinent part"

"[T]he board may reprove, suspend for a period not to exceed two years, or revoke the certificate of any professional engineer registered under this chapter:

. . . .

"(c) Who has been found guilty by the board of negligence or incompetence in his or her practice.

"(h) Who violates any provision of this chapter."

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

COST RECOVERY

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and

27 enforcement of the case.

28 | ///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 6775, subdivision (c), for committing acts that constituted negligence in the practice of professional engineering. In or about March 2001, Ronald R. and Sharon R. (the homeowners) hired Respondent to provide structural analysis of a two-story addition to an existing two-story single-family home (the structural analysis project). In performing his duties, Respondent committed numerous specific acts that constituted negligence because they fell below the standard of practice that would be exercised by the reasonably prudent professional engineer, including:
 - (a) The foundation included the use of caissons,¹. The caisson detail was not properly assigned a detail designation, and was not referenced on the foundation plan.
 - (b) The foundation plans did not properly detail the connection between the existing foundation and the new foundation.
 - (c) The foundation plan did not clearly note the location of the slab foundation or how the slab ties into the footings and grade beams.
 - (d) The foundation plan did not show the location, extent, or detailing of shear wall anchor bolts and shear wall holdown anchor bolts.
 - (e) Structural elevations were shown with conflicting information on shear wall locations and extents that do not reflect the slab foundation.
 - (f) The structural framing plans did not specify the lumber grades to be provided.
 - (g) The structural framing plans did not correctly specify wood structural panel shear walls. The plans lacked wood structural panel specification, nail sizes and spacing, and anchor bolt space.
 - (h) The structural framing plans did not call out any floor joists, deck joists, roof rafters, headers, or ridge beam.

-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

A caisson is a watertight chamber used as a foundation.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Include a Preliminary Notation on Incomplete Plans)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 6775, subdivision (h) [violating provisions of the Business and Professions Code], for violating section 6735 [requiring preliminary plans to include a notation to that effect]. When Respondent submitted his structural analysis project plans to the homeowners in or about November 2001, he failed to include the required notation that the plans were preliminary or for building department review.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Execute a Written Contract)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 6775, subdivision (h) [violating provisions of the Business and Professions Code], for violating section 6749 [written contract required when providing professional engineering services]. Respondent never executed a written contract with the homeowners for the professional engineering services he provided to them during the period of time from in or about March 2001 until in or about December 2001.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Civil Engineer License Number C 14972, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent David Seagal to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED JULY 20 1200 9

Original Signed

Executive Officer

Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant